Evidence for Change Pilot 2015
The Team

• Karen Nolan – Public Health Practitioner, Public Health
• Stephen Boydell - Principal Public Health Intelligence Practitioner, Public Health
• Anna Dootson – Assistant Head Teacher and SENCo, Educational Diversity (PRU)
• Viv Counsell-Thomas – Careers Co-ordinator, Educational Diversity (PRU)
• Fiona Downey – Education Officer, Youth Offending Team
Health in Blackpool

- Life expectancy in Blackpool is amongst the lowest in the country
- Blackpool has the highest levels of young people (10-24) being admitted to hospital as a result of self-harm, 3 times the National average (2014)
- Attainment for GCSEs achieved is significantly worse than the national average
- 6.5% of 16-19 year olds are NEET (above national figure)
- Higher than average rate of first time entrants to the criminal justice system
- Teenage conceptions are 39.9 (rate per 1000) compared to 21.9 for England (Q3 2014)
Outline of the proposed project

• Work with young men with dyslexia who have been excluded from mainstream education or are at risk of being excluded

• The research will consider the types and intensity of interventions for young people at risk of exclusion from school who have dyslexia

• Considering how to improve self-esteem and resilience, improve educational attainment and reduce risk of substance misuse and offending within that specific group
Existing evidence

• Young men with dyslexia are more likely to be excluded from school, more likely to become NEETS, more likely to abuse drugs and alcohol

• A much higher incidence of dyslexia in young people who offend (between 43 and 57%) than in the wider population compared to 10% in the general population

• Furthermore, people with dyslexia are more likely to have low self-esteem and depression
Wider stakeholders

- Schools and SENCos
- Educational Psychologists
- SEN team
- Children’s social care
- Criminal Justice professionals
- Head Start
- Children and Young people
How the project evolved

• Changed from males to all children to be more equitable
• Low levels of identification of SpLD – this appeared to be more of an issue than the support received by children with a diagnosis
• Early identification to improve outcomes throughout whole school career
• Prioritise those children with other coexisting risk factors
Young men

Original criteria: Young men
Change driver: More equitable intervention
Final criteria: All young people

Teenagers

Original criteria: Teenagers
Change driver: Focus moved to early identification
Final criteria: 7-9 year olds

Already excluded and possibly in CJS

Original criteria: Already excluded and possibly in CJS
Change driver: Intervention before potential issues develop
Final criteria: Children still in mainstream education

All young men identified as being dyslexic

Original criteria: All young men identified as being dyslexic
Change driver: Identification of SpLD prioritised
Final criteria: Children with other risk factors for poor outcomes
Barriers to progress

- Evolution of the project delayed progression
- Identification of a suitable tool to identify vulnerability - ACE adult based
- Lack of a Blackpool wide approach to Dyslexia and SpLD
- Difficulty engaging stakeholders
- Lack of resources – time and financial
- The project was over ambitious within the time scale
Challenges to Public Engagement

• Identified a potential young person but evolution of the project delayed engagement
• Not appropriate for young person to come to workshops
• Problems with paying participant because of risky behaviours
• Target group changed to younger children – not suitable for PPI
• Teachers identified as potential PPI participants – during the summer holidays
A new approach – changes to practice by Educational Psychologists

• Met with Educational Psychologist in October

• Developing a draft consultation policy for a council wide approach to dyslexia and SpLD

• Developing a universal approach that will identify all children with reading difficulties
• Precision teaching will address any delay in reading ability in the majority of children.

• Those that do not respond may be dyslexic or have another SpLD, research shows that this is a more effective diagnosis method.

• Our intervention, aiming to improve early identification is no longer required.
Group learning

• Involving wider stakeholders earlier in the process to clearly define the projects aims and outcomes

• Understanding the project direction in the initial stages to ensure more effective progress

• Time for this type of project needs to be safeguarded
• Improved understanding of the work of other departments and how we can work together more effectively

• Driving change is difficult and needs the correct people to be engaged early

• Greater understanding the importance of collaboration to achieve better outcomes for young people
Individual learning

- Searching for evidence to inform practice
- Differentiating between ‘good’ and ‘bad’ evidence
- Understanding change and how to influence organisational culture
- Understanding of health inequalities and how it affects our work
Future working

• Consideration of schools with academy status – no obligation to comply with Council policies
• Identifying health needs of young people in the pupil referral unit including their own understanding of their health
• Involvement in new Local Authority SPLD/Dyslexia strategy/coordination group
• Further research in partnership with other bodies