Improving bowel cancer screening uptake in the BAME communities of Liverpool
A catalytic journey supported by the Evidence for Change (EfC) initiative

Background
Liverpool city centre has high deprivation and large ethnic groups. Inequalities of uptake for colorectal cancer screening was significantly higher in the Black, Asian, Minority Ethnic (BAME) community compared even to the most deprived white British communities. Their risk of having a later bowel cancer diagnosis is also increased. These inequalities are similarly reflected in other large cities in the country (J Shankleman, 2014).

Aim – in keeping with the NHS Five Year Forward View (2014), our aim is to understand this health inequality and try to address it locally by inputting resources for further research and awareness raising with the stakeholders.

Challenges
• Resources and time to attend workshops, do project work and attend to standard work commitments
• Agreeing on terms of reference
• Creation of BAME advisory panel produced opportunities and challenges – e.g. expectations of doing community work that cannot yet begin
• Differentiating the different needs from the heterogenic BAME community
• Securing support from key sponsors (LCCG NHS, LCC, PHE, CRUK, NIHR CLAHRC NWC)

Process
The results of screening programme inequalities were presented to the various local social and healthcare stakeholders. Following several meetings, we formed a Multi Agency Team (MAT) in Liverpool with the support of CLAHRC NWC to explore how to gather momentum to improve the screening programme specifically in the BAME community. Representatives from the MAT reported back to their allocated groups (fig1) and a final presentation was developed to promote the research in phase two. This complex navigation to attain the end result in phase one (research and promotion funding) would not have been possible without the “catalytic effect” of the EfC initiative on professional development from CLAHRC NWC fig. 2

Learning points
• Participation in EfC was valuable and will be advocated for improving evidence based health and social care provision
• BAME panel advisory group made a significant contribution to the project development
• Involvement of a GP was key for the clinical perspective in the community
• Sharing data with similar projects (Tower Hamlet) and learning from their previous valuable experience
• Evidence of impact was measured using Saunders’s five levels (2007)

Future challenges and next steps
• Keeping together the team with constant political pressure on resources
• Gaining commitment from GP practices, CCG, NHSE and national hub to make changes
• Publish findings
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Authors: Nigel A Chatwin, Taher Qassim, Richard Jones
Key Contact: Dr Nigel Chatwin, email: nigel.chatwin@livgp.nhs.uk

Acknowledgements: BAME Public Adviser Group Liverpool; Joan Brookman, Martin Smith, Chris Williamson, Liverpool City Council Public Health; Daniel Seaton NHS England; Cancer Research UK; Michelle Timoney Liverpool Clinical Commissioning Group; Lesley Harper and Rumona Dickson CLAHRC NWC. This poster represents research undertaken as part of the Evidence for Change – 2015 Pilot, funded by National Institute for Health Research, Collaboration in Applied Health Research and Care (NIHR CLAHRC) North West Coast. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR or the Department of Health.


Abbreviations: BAME – Black, Asian, Minority Ethnic; CLAHRC NWC – Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care, North West Coast; CRUK – Cancer Research United Kingdom NHS

LCC – Liverpool City Council
LCCG – Liverpool Clinical Commissioning Group
NHS – National Health Service
NIHR – National Institute for Health Research
PHE – Public Health England

CRUK – Cancer Research United Kingdom NHS

Keys to success
• Project will need to utilise existing knowledge and networks to move the project forward
• Need to receive continued support from existing stakeholders
• Multi-Disciplinary Team (MDT) approach as opposed to vertical centred national programme (screening hub)