


Developmental Evaluation, Navigating Complexity: 'Evidence for Change' - Case Study

THE INITIATIVE

Evidence for Change (EfC) is a professional development initiative for multi-disciplinary teams, who wish to use evidence to improve health and social care provision with a focus on reducing health inequalities. The eight-month pilot utilised blended learning together with sequenced opportunities for team reflexivity¹ to integrate learning and research skills into professional practice. The blend of learning methods also incorporated elements of Participative Action Research², and Collaborative Inquiry³ within the Developmental Evaluation⁴ process.




DEVELOPMENTAL EVALUATION⁴

The Due North Report of the Inquiry on Health Equity for the North⁵ and the NHS Five Year Forward View⁶ outline the significant challenges faced by the health and social care teams involved in EfC. Developmental Evaluation⁴ was chosen to support both the EfC design team and the participating teams to navigate complexity within their rapidly emerging environments in order to make meaningful impacts with restrained and diminishing resources.

Evaluation objectives: a) to inform the evolving design of EfC (both pilot and future iterations); b) to identify the impact of EfC upon participants, their practice, their organisations and local community; c) to provide a learning process to support the participating teams to navigate complexity when developing services.

PROCESS


At each stage of the initiative the design team gathered, analysed and reflected upon data about the teams' needs in order to inform the next step of EfC design (build it as you go). During stage two the cyclical process of investigation was used more intensively, whilst simultaneously incorporating the process into the workshop structure in order to support the participating teams to navigate their own complex environment.



PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Using Saunders's five levels of impact⁷ to identify the rippling out effects of EfC, to date, the design team have established evidence of:

- level 1 – impacts on individuals (self) including improved motivation and personal learning (non-linear outcomes⁴)
- level 2 – impacts on personal practice including increased communication, teamwork, and negotiation



- level 3 – impacts on department/team including engagement of public advisers in work, successful bids and continued work streams
- level 4 – impacts on organisation or local community including improved services and increased public activity
- level 5 – currently no impacts reported in relation to changes within sector nor wider society

NEXT STEPS

The evaluation is not yet complete but initial data collection has identified extensive personal and professional learning on the part of participants as well as impact on the practice of the teams. A number of the teams have continued to work together with the EfC design team to continue their programme of work. The data being collected now will inform the development of EfC2 which will roll out in mid 2016.

AUTHORS: Lesley Harper l.harper@liverpool.ac.uk and Rumona Dickson rdickson@liverpool.ac.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Participating EfC teams – Blackpool Council Public Health; Lancashire Care NHS Foundation Trust; Lancashire County Council Health and Wellbeing Team with Marsden Grange Care Home, East Lancashire CCG and East Lancashire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust; Liverpool City Council Public Health with BAME Advisory Panel, Cancer Research UK, Liverpool CCG, Liverpool Community Health Partners, NHS England and Public Health England. CLAHRC NWC facilitators, evaluation mentor and administrator. This poster represents research funded by the National Institute for Health Research Collaboration in Applied Health Research and Care (NIHR CLAHRC) North West Coast. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, NIHR or the Department of Health. This work is part of the NIHR CLAHRC NWC Evidence Synthesis Collaboration. CLAHRC NWC website for more information at <http://www.clahrc-nwc.nihr.ac.uk/index.php>

REFERENCE LIST: (1) Schippers, M. C., West, M.A., & Dawson, J.F. (2015). Team Reflexivity and Innovation: The Moderating Role of Team Context. *Journal of Management*, Vol 41, No 3, 769-788. (2) Reason, P., & Bradbury, H., (2008) *The Sage Handbook of Action Research, Participative Inquiry and Practice*, 2nd Ed, Sage Publications: London. (3) Bray, J.N., Lee, J., Smith, L.L., & Yorks, L. (2000) *Collaborative Inquiry In Practice, Action, Reflection, and Making Meaning*, Sage Publications: London. (4) Patton, M. Q. (2011) *Developmental Evaluation Applying Complexity Concepts to Enhance Innovation and Use*, The Guildford Press: New York. (5) Whitehead, M. (2014) *Due North: The Report of the Inquiry on Health Equity for the North*, University of Liverpool & Centre for Local Economic Strategies. (6) NHS England (2014) *NHS Five Year Forward View*, <https://www.england.nhs.uk/ourwork/futurenhs/> (7) Professor Murray Saunders (2007), *HEFCE Evaluation Capacity Building in Widening Participation Practice*, Resource Toolkit, CSET, Lancaster University.